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Preamble

This document is intended to provide a means for reviewing the quality of higher education informatics* qualifications in the European Higher Education Area (EHEA), in a way that encourages the dissemination of good practice and a culture of continuous improvement of informatics degree programmes. They have been developed within the Euro-Inf Project, the principal aim of which is to develop a framework for the accreditation of informatics degree programmes in the EHEA. Given the great diversity of informatics education across Europe, the attempt to create framework standards comprising all areas of the informatics discipline appears ambitious. The Euro-Inf Framework is thus intended as a broad common denominator, or overarching reference point, for the variety of informatics degree programmes. In order to allow for possible inclusion of existing informatics specialisations within European Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), the framework must be formulated in rather general terms. The Standards and Criteria contained in this document represent a quality threshold. All graduates of degree programmes assessed against the Euro-Inf Standards are expected to achieve the programme learning outcomes stated therein.

The objective of the Lisbon strategy to create a “knowledge-based society”, and thus to enhance competitiveness and employability throughout Europe requires reform of higher education systems within Europe. In this context, the Bologna Process aims at establishing a European Higher Education Area by 2010. The European Commission is supporting projects aiming to contribute to this reform process. As outlined by the European Ministers of Education in Berlin in September 2003, quality of higher education is “at the heart of the setting up of a European Higher Education Area”. Informatics is certainly to be ranked as a strategically important discipline given the new global competitive challenge Europe faces. It is thus particularly important in the informatics area to develop quality standards for Higher Education programmes and to create and disseminate mechanisms to encourage improvement of quality of education.

Accreditation of an informatics degree programme is the primary result of a process used to ensure the suitability of that programme as providing the education base for the entry route to professional practice. It involves a periodic assessment against accepted standards of informatics higher education. Independent, third-party Accreditation is essentially based on a peer review process, undertaken by appropriately trained and independent teams comprising peers from both academia and informatics practice, in accordance with agreed principles. It is important that Accreditation processes go beyond

* Where Informatics is indicated, Computing is also understood.
judgement on the achievement of a minimum standard, and effectively promote the idea of continuous improvement of the quality of Higher Education programmes.

This document can be used in both the design and the evaluation of degree programmes in all specialisations of informatics. Accreditation Criteria are expressed as broad generic programme learning outcomes that describe in general terms the capabilities required of graduates from accredited First Cycle and Second Cycle informatics degree programmes, as defined in the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area. Consequently, they can be interpreted and elaborated by users to reflect the specific demands of different cycles and specialisations.

Although this document is expressed in terms of accrediting degree programmes, it can also be used in relation to recognition of agencies that accredit (or intend to accredit) informatics programmes, in assessing the consistency of their rules and standards with the requirements of this document (‘meta-accreditation’); alternatively, it can be used as a guideline for the design and development of Standards and Procedures for new Accreditation agencies. The Standards and Criteria are intended to be widely applicable and inclusive, in order to recognise the diversity of degree programmes around Europe that provide the education necessary for a graduate to enter work as an ICT (informatics) professional.

This document describes the programme (learning) outcomes of an accredited Higher Education programme but allow for considerable variation in the emphasis of individual programmes. The development of new programmes of study or of new and different ways of delivering the curriculum is to be encouraged. HEIs are also encouraged to provide incentives for excellence in programme development and refinement but it is left to the responsibility of the HEI as to how these incentives are provided. This document does not address conditions of access to degree programmes: these are handled by HEIs, in accordance with national regulations and/or requirements including new and innovative programmes.

Throughout this document, the term “informatics graduate” is used to describe someone who successfully completes an accredited degree programme in informatics. It is for the appropriate authority in each country to decide if a qualification, accredited or not, is sufficient for professional practice in ICT (the field of informatics) in that country, or if further education, training or industrial experience are necessary. The Euro-Inf accreditation label will assist such decisions, and particularly those that involve transnational recognition.

The development of the programme learning outcomes has been informed by the
report ‘A Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area’ agreed by the Ministerial Conference in Bergen in May 2005, and by the Dublin Descriptors referred to therein. It is also assumed that all programmes to be accredited fulfil the criteria set out in the ENQA ‘Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area’ and also agreed by the Bergen Conference. Furthermore, it has been informed by the European Qualifications Framework for lifelong learning proposed by the European Commission for a Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council.
1. Standards and Guidelines for Programme Assessment and Programme Accreditation

Each informatics programme for which a Higher Education Institution seeks accreditation or reaccreditation against Euro-Inf Standards must be consistent with legal and national requirements.

The Euro-Inf Framework contains two sets of criteria: firstly the generic criteria valid for both First and Second Cycle programme, and secondly, the programme outcomes for accreditation. They will be used by EQANIE review teams when EQANIE is charged with carrying out an accreditation procedure for the award of the Euro-Inf label.

The table below contains the detailed, generic criteria to be assessed within this framework and the associated “requirements” listed in the following table. Additional questions and possible evidence should be addressed when assessing a particular informatics programme for accreditation.

The Programme Outcomes for Accreditation (cf. section 1.2) currently contain sets of intended learning outcomes for informatics degrees. Section 1.3 contains a set of intended learning outcomes for business informatics degrees. It is planned that additional sets of intended learning outcomes for informatics-related subject areas will be added.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Programme Design and Development | 1.1. Learning Outcomes | The intended learning outcomes for the programme are consistent with the mission and objectives of the Higher Education Institution (HEI) and the faculty, department or school responsible for the programme. The intended learning outcomes of the degree cover the programme outcomes for accreditation specified in the EURO-INF Standards (cf. Section 1.2). They are valid, feasible and up-to-date. The intended learning outcomes for the programme are easily accessible to the relevant stakeholders and are included in the Diploma Supplement. | Possible questions for analysis  
- How does the higher education institution correlate the competence profile of the programme with the Programme Outcomes of Euro-Inf? (Note: Please use the attached Objectives-Module-Matrix for this correlation.)  
- Have the learning outcomes of the degree programme been checked against the overarching mission and objective and verified within the last few years? If so, for what reasons were any adjustments made? | ESG 1.2 |
|                           | 1.2. Labour Market/Graduates/Stakeholders | The needs of relevant stakeholders (such as students, potential employers, graduates, informatics societies, etc.) have been explicitly identified and are taken into account. Graduates have clear labour market prospects. Relevant stakeholders are involved in the programme design and further development. | Possible questions for analysis  
- How does the intended competence profile comply with specific areas of the profession?  
- How has the intended competence profile of the degree programme been developed (regarding launch of the process, procedure, participants)?  
- Are there any peculiarities within the qualitative or quantitative data/information of the higher education institution with regard to the acceptance of the competence profile on the labour market? | ESG 1.2 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3. Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td>The curriculum is adequate to enable the achievement of the defined programme outcomes. There is a link between the individual educational units, their intended learning outcomes and the overall programme outcomes. The curriculum covers an educational level that corresponds to the correct level of the national qualifications framework for higher education and the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area (QF-EHEA).</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis&lt;br&gt;• How do those responsible for the programme assure themselves that the curriculum facilitates the achievement of learning outcomes?&lt;br&gt;• How do those responsible ensure that the educational components complement or build up on each other?&lt;br&gt;• How do those responsible for the degree programme react if single modules do not fit (anymore) into the general concept of the degree programme?</td>
<td>ESG 1.2, ESG 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The curriculum contains practical elements (e.g. internship, placements, laboratories, projects, etc.) and a graduation project (thesis, dissertation, final project or similar) which is conducted in such a way as to ensure that each individual student acquires, and is assessed on, the relevant learning outcomes.</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis&lt;br&gt;• How are practical elements included in the curriculum?&lt;br&gt;• How do those responsible for programme design and development recognize that the practical elements are targeted towards the objectives?&lt;br&gt;• What is done to ensure the quality of external working practice or internships?&lt;br&gt;• How is it ensured that the level of the graduation projects is appropriate?</td>
<td>Possible evidence&lt;br&gt;• Overview of the curricular structure&lt;br&gt;• Course (module) descriptions&lt;br&gt;• Course material&lt;br&gt;• Regulations for practical elements, e.g. internship&lt;br&gt;• Regulations for final projects&lt;br&gt;• Course (module) descriptions&lt;br&gt;• Results from internal quality assurance activities dealing with practical elements&lt;br&gt;• Final project reports made available during the onsite visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The curriculum supports students' mobility.</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis&lt;br&gt;• To what extent is mobility planned for in the...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Programme Management and Implementation</td>
<td>2.1. Admission and enrolment</td>
<td>Students seeking enrolment in the programme have the right knowledge and attitudes to enable achievement of the programme outcomes in the expected time. Admission requirements are transparent, binding and consistently applied.</td>
<td>Possible evidence: - Rules for recognition - Results from internal quality assurance activities dealing with mobility</td>
<td>ESG 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2. Workload and ECTS</td>
<td>Student workload is realistic so that studies can be completed in the time officially allocated to them. A full-time academic year normally corresponds to the equivalent of 60 ECTS(^2).</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis: - On what basis does credit allocation take place? - How is it ensured that the workload is realistic? - Have problems occurred, and, if so, what has been done? Possible evidence: - Course (module) descriptions - Data and results from internal quality management activities dealing with student workload - Guidelines for allocating and revising credits - ECTS conversion tables, if applicable</td>
<td>ESG 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3. Teaching Methods / Didactic</td>
<td>A sound didactic concept is in place taking into account a student-centred approach to learning and teaching. In line with the intended learning outcomes, there is a</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis: - How do those responsible recognize that the teaching methods used are adequate for the achievement of the</td>
<td>ESG 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2.4. Assessment          | Examinations, projects and other assessment methods are designed to evaluate the extent to which students can demonstrate achievement of the learning outcomes of individual modules and programme outcomes throughout the programme and at its conclusion. Where possible, more than one examiner should carry out student assessment. Students are informed about the assessment methods, grading system and weight contribution of each educational unit at or before the beginning of the unit. | intended learning outcomes?  
- How is it ensured that all staff members use a student-centred teaching approach? | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do those responsible recognized that the assessment methods are suitable to ascertain the achievement of the intended learning outcomes?  
- How are exam types and exam organisation viewed by students and staff?  
- If there are external examiners, how do they contribute to the assessment process? | ESG 1.3 |
| 3. Resources             | 3.1. Staff              | The number and qualification of academic staff are adequate to facilitate students’ accomplishment of the programme outcomes. The link between education and research is facilitated. Recruitment processes are transparent and fair. Opportunities for staff training and further development are in place. | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do those responsible assure themselves that the number and qualification of staff is adequate?  
- How does the organisation react to possible current or expected shortcomings, if applicable?  
- How are the research activities related to teaching?  
- How is the necessity for staff development recognized? | ESG 1.5 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CVs of academic staff members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Short description of research activities relevant to the programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Documentation of training and development policies and opportunities for staff members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical and administrative support staff are adequate to support the achievement of the programme outcomes.</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis</td>
<td>• How do those responsible ensure that the number and qualification of staff are adequate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• How does the organisation react to possible current or expected shortcomings, if applicable?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible evidence</td>
<td>• List of technical and admin staff (with full-time equivalence contribution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2. Student Support</td>
<td>Counselling and support are provided for students and sufficiently funded. This includes support for students learning activities at home (e.g. e-tutorials, accessibility of academic staff via email).</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis</td>
<td>• How is the support viewed by the students and staff?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible evidence</td>
<td>• Documentation of support services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Results from internal quality assurance activities regarding support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3. Facilities</td>
<td>Facilities (lecture, computing, laboratories, workshops and associated equipment, libraries and associated equipment) are adequate to enable the programme outcomes to be accomplished.</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis</td>
<td>• How do students and staff view the facilities available?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Have any (future) difficulties been identified or anticipated? If so, what is being done about them?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible evidence</td>
<td>• Documentation of facilities and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Results from internal quality assurance activities regarding facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Tour of facilities during onsite visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Available financial resources are adequate to enable the programme outcomes to be accomplished.</td>
<td>Possible questions for analysis</td>
<td>• How do those responsible assure the financial stability and sustainability of the programme?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible evidence</td>
<td>• Documentation of current and planned budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines for Assessment</td>
<td>Criteria to be Assessed</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</td>
<td>Corresponding ESG Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4. Programme Information and Transparency | 4.1. Rules and regulations | Student admission, exam, recognition, progression and graduation regulations are transparent. They ensure that studies can be completed in the time officially allocated to them. They include regulations for mitigating circumstances (such as re-sits, illness, etc.) and for student appeals | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do those responsible ensure that all rules and regulations are adequate, up-to-date and transparent?  
- Are responsibilities for the maintenance and revision of rules and regulations clearly allocated?  
Possible evidence  
- Link to rules and regulations on website  
- If applicable, results from students appeals | ESG 1.4, ESG 1.8 |
|                          |                         | Policies are in place to ensure academic integrity and freedom and to protect against plagiarism, fraud, and any form of discrimination. | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do the stakeholders, in particular staff, view their situation with regard to academic integrity and freedom?  
- How do those responsible ensure that plagiarism, fraud and discrimination are avoided?  
Possible evidence  
- Documentation of relevant policies  
- If applicable, results from internal quality assurance processes regarding academic freedom, anti-discrimination and fraud | |
|                          |                         | Recognition of qualifications, periods of study and prior learning is ensured, based on the principles of the Lisbon Recognition Convention. | Possible questions for analysis  
- What are the experiences of the stakeholders (students, academic and administrative staff) with recognition of externally acquired competences?  
Possible evidence  
- Documents in which the recognition policies are stipulated  
- If applicable, results of recognition procedures | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>about</td>
<td>• link to website where descriptions are published</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– nature of the unit (compulsory/optional)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– cycle, year of study, and/or semester when the component is delivered, if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– number of ECTS credits allocated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– name of the lecturer(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– intended learning outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– method of delivery (face-to-face/ distance learning etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– prerequisites (mandatory and/or suggested), if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– recommended and/or required literature and other learning resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– planned learning activities and teaching methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– assessment methods and criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– language of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students receive documentation about the qualification gained, containing information about their individual achievements, as well as the intended and individual achieved learning outcomes, context, level, content and status of the studies. In the European Union, normally a Diploma Supplement is issued.  

Possible evidence
- Rules regarding the award of qualifications
- Sample of the programme-specific Diploma Supplement

Information about the programme is publicly available, including
- Programme objectives and curricula
- Qualification to be gained
- Teaching, learning and assessment policies
- Pass rates
- Learning opportunities available
- Graduate employment

Possible questions for analysis
- How do those responsible ensure that the information made available is complete, transparent and helpful?

Possible evidence
- link to programme website

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Assessment</th>
<th>Criteria to be Assessed</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>What the Self-Assessment Report should give evidence of and the Review Team should check</th>
<th>Corresponding ESG Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5. Quality Management    | 5.1. QM-Policy          | Quality management policies are in place. They translate into measures and responsibilities for the continuous improvement of educational programmes. All relevant stakeholders, in particular students, are involved in the quality assurance activities. The results of quality management activities are communicated back to the relevant stakeholders. | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do the stakeholders view the quality management system with regard to their participation?  
- How and by whom are quality management policies and related activities revised? Has this been the case in the past few years?  
- Do stakeholders consider that improvements have been made to the programmes?  
- What feedback loops exist?  
Possible evidence  
- Internal quality management policy and guidelines or regulations | ESG 1.1 |
|                         | 5.2. Programme monitoring and review | Programmes are regularly monitored, reviewed and updated as part of quality management activities. Elements to be considered in this process are:  
- needs of the stakeholders and up-to-date profession requirements  
- achievement of intended learning outcomes and effectiveness of student assessment  
- students workload, progression and completion  
- learning resources and available support  
- graduate employment and career paths | Possible questions for analysis  
- To what extent does achievement of the intended learning outcomes play a role in the quality management system?  
- To what extent are results from surveys and analysis fed back into programme development activities?  
Possible evidence  
- Sample information about the quality management and its results (e.g. internal reports) | ESG 1.1, ESG 1.9 |
|                         |                         | Information and data are collected as input into quality management decisions. This normally includes:  
- Key performance indicators  
- Student statistics (profile of student population; student progression, success and drop-out rates)  
- Reasons for non-completion of studies | Possible questions for analysis  
- How do those responsible ensure that meaningful data is collected?  
- Do the stakeholders consider the data collected to be informative and relevant and enable them to take quality-based decisions?  
Possible evidence  
- Quantitative and qualitative statistical data from evaluations, study progression statistics, number of graduates, and their distribution etc. | ESG 1.1, ESG 1.7 |
1.2. Subject Specific Criteria: Programme Outcomes for Informatics Degrees

Programme Outcomes can be described as quality standards for knowledge, skills and competences that graduates of an accredited course should have achieved as the educational base for practising their profession or for post-graduate studies. They will vary in extent and intensity in accordance with the differing objectives of First and Second Cycle degree (FCD and SCD) programmes. In the Euro-Inf Framework they are arranged into the following six categories:

- Underlying Conceptual Basis for Informatics
- Analysis
- Design and Implementation
- Economic, Legal, Social, Ethical and Environmental context
- Informatics Practice
- Other Professional Competences

A wide range of degree programmes fall within the general area of informatics but all graduates should be aware of the wider spectrum of informatics and of the underlying concepts relevant to their programmes of study. The first category “Underlying Conceptual Basis for Informatics” therefore identifies capabilities that are essential to satisfying the other programme outcomes, independently from the specific informatics specialisation and application context.

“Analysis” involves the application of informatics concepts and tools to the analysis of both problems and their solutions, while “Design and Implementation” involve the creation and development of an economically viable product, process or system to meet a defined need. These involve significant technical and intellectual challenges and can be used to integrate informatics knowledge and skills to the solution of real and complex problems.

Computing activity can have impacts on individuals, on commerce, on society and on the environment. The “Economic, legal, social, ethical and environmental context” category identifies the skills that graduates need to manage their activities and to be aware of the various legal and ethical constraints under which they are expected to operate, including an understanding of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in relation to activities in informatics and a knowledge of professional codes of conduct.

“Informatics practice” identifies the practical capabilities that graduates should have demonstrated through the application of informatics skills in a variety of situations. They should have demonstrated that they have an understanding of the contexts in which informatics knowledge can be applied (e.g. development and application of hardware and software, operation and management of informatics systems, etc).

Social or soft competences, listed under the category “Other Professional Competences” are crucial to communicate information, ideas, problems and solutions. Besides the so-called soft skills, the category also includes personal organisational skills, team working and life-long learning.

The same arrangement of categories is maintained for the programme outcomes of Second Cycle Degree (SCD) programmes. They apply in addition to the competences described for graduates of FCD programmes. Although all six outcome categories are used to describe expected outcomes of both FC and SC programmes, there are important differences in the requirements at the two levels.
These differences in the levels of First and Second Cycle accredited informatics programmes should inform the interpretation of the programme outcomes by HEIs and by review teams. For instance, whereas First Cycle graduates should be able to formalise and specify real-world problems whose solution involves the use of informatics, Second Cycle graduates are, in addition, expected to have demonstrated their ability to specify and complete informatics tasks that are complex, incompletely defined or unfamiliar.

No restriction is implied or intended by this document in the design of programmes to meet the specified programme outcomes. For example, the requirements of more than one outcome could be satisfied within a single module or unit such as individual or group project work. Similarly, it is possible that some programmes are designed such that the requirements of the Other Professional Competences category are taught and assessed entirely within modules or units designed to satisfy the requirements of other outcomes, whereas in other programmes the Other Professional Competences requirements are taught and assessed in modules or units designed specifically for this purpose.

**Terminology**

Within this document the words *awareness* and *complex* have following meanings.

- **Awareness**: for some of the topics included in these outcomes, graduates need to have some familiarity with the topic and to know why it is important within the general context of informatics, but not necessarily in-depth knowledge of that topic.

- **Complex**: problems, artefacts or systems that are complex involve dealing simultaneously with a sizeable number of factors that interact and require deep understanding, in relation both to their analysis and to their design and implementation.
1.2.1 Outcomes for First Cycle Degree (FCD) Programmes

Underlying Conceptual Basis for Informatics
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe and explain the essential facts, concepts, theories and mathematical methods relevant to computing, computing equipment, computer communication and informatics applications as appropriate to their programme of study
- outline the characteristics of relevant state-of-the-art hardware and software and their practical application
- outline relevant historical and current developments in informatics and show insight into possible future trends and developments
- apply and integrate knowledge and understanding of other informatics disciplines in support of study in their own specialist area(s)
- demonstrate awareness of the need for deep domain knowledge when creating informatics applications in other subject areas

Analysis
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- use a range of techniques to identify the requirements of real-world problems, analyse their complexity and assess the feasibility of their solution using informatics techniques
- describe a problem and its solution at varying levels of abstraction
- select and use relevant analytic, modelling and simulation methods
- choose appropriate solution patterns, algorithms and data structures
- analyse the extent to which an informatics system meets the criteria defined for its current use and future development

Design and Implementation
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- specify and design computing/network hardware/software which meet specified requirements
- describe the phases involved in different life cycle models used for specifying, building, testing and commissioning new systems and for maintaining existing systems
- select and use appropriate process models, programming environments and data management techniques for projects involving traditional applications as well as emerging application areas
- describe and explain the design of systems and interfaces for human-computer and computer-computer interaction
- apply relevant practical and programming skills to the creation of computer programs and/or other informatics artefacts

Economic, legal, social, ethical and environmental context
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate awareness of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in informatics and a knowledge of professional codes of conduct
- explain how commercial, industrial, economic and social contexts affect informatics practice
- identify relevant legal requirements governing informatics activities, including data protection, intellectual property rights, contracts, product safety and liability issues, personnel issues and health & safety
- explain the importance of information privacy and security issues in relation to the design, development, maintenance, monitoring and use of informatics-based systems
Informatics practice
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate an awareness of appropriate codes of practice and industry standards
- describe and explain management techniques appropriate to the design, implementation, testing, deployment and maintenance of informatics systems, including project management, configuration management, change management, etc., and including relevant automated techniques
- identify risk issues, including security, health & safety, environmental and commercial risk, and explain risk assessment, risk reduction and risk management techniques
- undertake literature searches and reviews using databases and other sources of information
- design and conduct appropriate practical investigations (e.g. of system performance), to interpret data and draw conclusions

Other Professional Skills and Competences
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- organise their own work independently, demonstrate initiative and exercise personal responsibility
- communicate effectively both verbally and using a variety of communications media to a variety of different audiences
- plan self-learning and improve personal performance as a foundation for lifelong learning and ongoing professional development
- identify different ways of organising teams and the various roles within a team
- participate effectively in informatics group-working
1.2.2 Outcomes for Second Cycle Degree (SCD) Programmes

Underlying Conceptual Basis for Informatics
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate either deepened knowledge of a chosen specialisation or broadened knowledge of informatics in general
- explain in depth relevant concepts and scientific principles appropriate to their programme of study, some of which may be from outside informatics
- demonstrate awareness of topics at the forefront of their specialisation and evaluate their significance

Analysis
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- apply appropriate analysis methods to the solution of complex problems in informatics and to assess their limitations
- use fundamental knowledge to investigate new and emerging technologies and methodologies
- collect and analyse research data and use appropriate analysis tools in tackling unfamiliar problems, such as those with uncertain or incomplete data or specifications, by the appropriate innovation, use or adaptation of analytical methods.

Design and Implementation
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe and explain design processes and methodologies relevant to their subject area and be able to apply and adapt them in unfamiliar situations
- specify and complete informatics tasks that are complex, incompletely defined or unfamiliar
- apply state-of-the-art or innovative methods in problem solving, possibly involving the use of other disciplines
- demonstrate that they can think creatively to develop new and original designs, approaches, methods, etc

Economic, legal, social, ethical and environmental context
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate awareness of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in informatics
- identify relevant legal, commercial, industrial, economic and/or social contexts appropriate to their area of study and explain their relevance
- evaluate risk and information security issues relevant to their area of study

Informatics practice
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe and explain applicable techniques and methods for their particular area of study and identify their limitations
- apply informatics techniques to new application areas, taking account of relevant commercial, industrial, social and environmental constraints
- contribute to the further development of informatics

Other Professional Competences
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- organise their own work independently, demonstrating initiative and exercising personal
responsibility

- appreciate the skills required to work with and lead a team that may be composed of people from different disciplines and different levels of qualification
- undertake literature searches and reviews using databases and other sources of information
- communicate effectively both verbally and using a variety of communications media to a variety of different audiences and preferably also in a second language
- plan self-learning and improve personal performance as a foundation for lifelong learning and ongoing professional development
1.3 Subject Specific Criteria: Programme Outcomes for Business Informatics Degrees

Programme Outcomes can be described as quality standards for knowledge, skills and competences that graduates of an accredited course should have achieved as the educational base for practising their profession or for post-graduate studies. They will vary in extent and intensity in accordance with the differing objectives of First and Second Cycle degree (FCD and SCD) programmes. In the Euro-BusInf Framework they are arranged into the following six categories:

- Business Informatics Fundamentals
- Analysis
- Design and Implementation
- Economic, Legal, Social, Ethical and Cultural context
- Business Informatics Practice
- Other Professional Skills and Competences

Information systems are used in many types of organisation but all graduates from an information systems programme should be aware of the underlying concepts relevant to their programmes of study. The first category “Business Informatics Fundamentals” therefore identifies capabilities that are essential to satisfying the other programme outcomes, independently from the specific specialisation and application context.

“Analysis” involves the application of informatics concepts and tools to the analysis of both problems and their solutions, while “Design and Implementation” involve the creation and development of an economically viable information system to meet a defined need. It involves significant technical and intellectual challenges and can be used to integrate knowledge of organisational processes with informatics knowledge and skills to produce solutions for real and complex problems.

The use of information systems can have impacts on individuals, on commerce, on society and on the environment. The “Economic, legal, social, ethical and cultural context” category identifies the skills that graduates need to manage their activities and to be aware of the various legal and ethical constraints under which they are expected to operate, including an understanding of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in relation to activities in informatics and a knowledge of professional codes of conduct.

“Business Informatics Practice” identifies the practical capabilities that graduates should have demonstrated through the application of their skills in a variety of situations. They should have demonstrated that they have an understanding of the contexts in which information systems may be used.

---

5 This is without prejudice to the name of the degree programme under review.
Social or soft competences, listed under the category “Other Professional Competences” are crucial to communicate information, ideas, problems and solutions. Besides the so-called soft skills, the category also includes personal organisational skills, team working and life-long learning.

The same arrangement of categories is maintained for the programme outcomes of Second Cycle Degree (SCD) programmes. They apply in addition to the competences described for graduates of FCD programmes. Although all six outcome categories are used to describe expected outcomes of both FC and SC programmes, there are important differences in the requirements at the two levels. These differences in the levels of First and Second Cycle accredited informatics programmes should inform the interpretation of the programme outcomes by HEIs and by auditing teams. For instance, whereas First Cycle graduates should be able to formalise and specify real-world problems whose solution involves the use of informatics, Second Cycle graduates are, in addition, expected to have demonstrated their ability to specify and complete informatics tasks that are complex, incompletely defined or unfamiliar.

No restriction is implied or intended by this document in the design of programmes to meet the specified programme outcomes. For example, the requirements of more than one outcome could be satisfied within a single module or unit such as individual or group project work. Similarly, it is possible that some programmes are designed such that the requirements of the Other Professional Competences category are taught and assessed entirely within modules or units designed to satisfy the requirements of other outcomes, whereas in other programmes the Other Professional Competences requirements are taught and assessed in modules or units designed specifically for this purpose.
1.3.1 Outcomes for First Cycle Degree (FCD) Programmes

1. Business Informatics Fundamentals
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe the fundamental concepts related to organisational strategies, structures and behaviours
- describe and explain the opportunities, challenges and risks of digital transformation and industry evolution
- outline relevant historical and current developments in information systems and show insight into possible future trends and developments
- explain the principles of process analysis and relate them to specific contexts
- describe and explain the essential facts and concepts relevant to hardware and software, IT equipment and digital communications
- outline the characteristics of state-of-the-art hardware, software and communications technology
- explain how organisational models, data, applications and IT infrastructure are related as elements of an enterprise architecture

2. Analysis
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- use a range of information systems techniques to identify the requirements of real-world problems, analyse their complexity and assess the feasibility of potential solutions
- extract data from multiple data sources and conduct descriptive and predictive analysis using, where appropriate, statistics and probability techniques
- apply modern business process modelling, documentation and analysis tools and techniques to the knowledge drawn from their domain observation, stakeholder interviews, prior document analysis, etc.
- describe a problem and its solution at varying levels of abstraction
- use relevant analytic, modelling and simulation methods to assess the performance and risks of business processes
- analyse the extent to which an information system meets the criteria defined for its current use and future development

3. Design and Implementation
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- design and develop applications, application architectures and integrated systems that satisfy organisational requirements, user needs, usability and accessibility requirements, and provide a high-quality user experience
- select an appropriate life cycle model for specifying, building, testing and commissioning new information systems and for maintaining existing systems
- select and use appropriate programming environments and data management techniques for projects involving traditional applications as well as emerging application areas
- describe and explain solution patterns, algorithms and data structures appropriate to the creation of a particular information system
- apply relevant practical and programming skills to the creation of basic software systems

4. Economic, Legal, Social, Ethical and Cultural Context
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate awareness of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in information systems practice and a knowledge of professional codes of conduct
- explain how commercial, economic, cultural and social considerations affect information systems deployment
identify relevant legal requirements governing information systems, including data protection, intellectual property rights, contracts, product safety and liability issues, sustainability issues, personnel issues and health & safety

explain the importance of information privacy and security issues in relation to the design, development, maintenance, monitoring and use of information systems

5. Business Informatics Practice
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- compare and select industry reference models and best practices for IT governance
- describe and explain IT governance processes, for example, financial planning and control, demand management and maintenance of information systems
- describe and compare management techniques relevant to the design, implementation, procurement, sourcing, testing and deployment of information systems including configuration management and change management
- apply project management techniques to IT projects
- identify risk issues, including security, health & safety, environmental and commercial risk, and explain risk assessment, risk reduction and risk management and disaster recovery techniques
- undertake literature searches and reviews using databases and other sources of information
- design and conduct appropriate practical investigations of application performance and scalability

6. Other Professional Skills and Competences
Graduates of a First Cycle degree should be able to:

- organise their own work independently, demonstrate initiative and exercise personal responsibility
- communicate effectively both verbally and using a variety of communications media to a variety of different audiences
- plan self-learning and improve personal performance as a foundation for lifelong learning and ongoing professional development
- identify different ways of organising teams and the various roles within a team
- participate effectively in collaborative discussions and in information systems group-working
1.3.2 Outcomes for Second Cycle Degree (SCD) Programmes

1. Business Informatics Fundamentals
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate either deepened knowledge of a chosen specialisation or broadened knowledge of information systems in general
- explain in depth relevant concepts and principles appropriate to their programme of study, some of which may be from outside information systems
- demonstrate awareness of topics at the forefront of their specialisation and evaluate their significance

2. Analysis
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- apply appropriate analysis methods to the solution of complex problems in information systems and to assess their limitations
- use fundamental knowledge to investigate new and emerging technologies and methodologies
- collect and analyse research data and use appropriate analysis tools in tackling unfamiliar problems, such as those with uncertain or incomplete data or specifications, by the appropriate innovation, use or adaptation of analytical methods.

3. Design and Implementation
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe and explain design processes and methodologies relevant to their subject area and be able to apply and adapt them in unfamiliar situations
- specify and complete information systems tasks that are complex, incompletely defined or unfamiliar
- apply state-of-the-art or innovative methods in problem solving, possibly involving the use of other disciplines
- demonstrate that they can think creatively to develop new and original designs, approaches, methods, etc

4. Economic, Legal, Social, Ethical and Cultural Context
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- demonstrate awareness of the need for a high level of professional and ethical conduct in information systems
- identify relevant legal, commercial, economic, cultural and/or social contexts appropriate to their area of study and explain their relevance
- evaluate risk and information security issues relevant to their area of study

5. Business Informatics Practice
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:

- describe and explain applicable techniques and methods for their particular area of study and identify their limitations
- apply information systems techniques to new application areas, taking account of relevant commercial, cultural, social and environmental constraints
- contribute to the further development of information systems

6. Other Professional Skills and Competences
Graduates of a Second Cycle degree should be able to:
organise their own work independently, demonstrate initiative and exercise personal responsibility

appreciate the skills required to work with and lead a team that may be composed of people from different disciplines and different levels of qualification

undertake literature searches and reviews using databases and other sources of information

communicate effectively both verbally and using a variety of communications media to a variety of different audiences and preferably also in a second language

plan self-learning and improve personal performance as a foundation for lifelong learning and ongoing professional development
2 Standards and Guidelines for External Quality Assurance Procedures

This section lists the steps the programme assessment (based on self-assessment followed by external review) and programme accreditation procedures should follow. In principle, this means compliance with Part 2 of the ESG. These procedures will be used by EQANIE when it is charged with carrying out an accreditation procedure for the award of the Euro-Inf label.

External quality assurance agencies seeking authorization to award the Euro-Inf label in the frame of their national procedures should demonstrate compliance with these procedures. Nevertheless, they may add further requirements to respond to nationally and culturally distinctive features of higher education in informatics and to ensure compliance with national legislation.

2.2 Guidelines for the External Assessment (ESG 2.1, 2.3)

The external quality assurance process should contain the following elements:

2.2.1 Application by a Higher Education Institution (HEI)

The detailed self-assessment report and documentation is submitted before the visit of the review team (sufficient time should be allowed for review of the report).

An application will only be considered when there is at least one cohort of graduates.

The table in Section 1.1 serves as a guideline for the HEI in producing (and for members of the review team in reviewing) the self-assessment report and documentation. In any case, the self-assessment report should provide adequate information against all the questions listed in the table in Section 1.1, taking into account at least all the items listed in the last column of the table.

2.2.2 Accreditation Visit

The accreditation visit normally lasts at least two days, including both any preliminary meetings of the review team and the visit to the HEI.

The visit normally includes:

- a preliminary meeting of the review team prior to the visit to identify what additional information is to be obtained during the visit
- a meeting with head of department / university
- a meeting with academic staff members
- a meeting with a representative group of students

---


• if applicable, meetings with other relevant stakeholders such as support staff members, former students, representatives of relevant employers / industry / professional informatics organisations

• a visit of relevant facilities (libraries, laboratories, etc.)

• a review of project work, final thesis, examination papers and other assessed work (with regard to the standard and modes of assessment as well as to the learning achievements of the students)

• feedback by the review team at the end of the visit.

2.3 Guidelines for the Peer Review Team (ESG 2.4)

The external review team (peer group) should consist of at least four persons, preferably more, representing a balance of relevant experience and expertise. At least two members of the review team should be academics, at least one a practitioner with a SCD or equivalent in informatics, and at least one a student enrolled in a First or Second Cycle study programme in informatics. All members of the review team should be made aware of the roles and responsibilities of external peers in the conduct of the accreditation process. As a norm, at least one member of the team should have previous experience in EQANIE accreditation. In this regard accreditation institutions should provide (or ensure provision of) adequate training or briefing.

To facilitate the dissemination of good practice in assessment, the accreditation agency should offer the option to include external observers from outside the respective economic region.

Each member of the review team must provide a statement indicating that no conflict of interest exists between the department at which one or more programmes are being accredited and the review team members themselves. This statement should be received prior to any documentation being distributed.

2.4 Standards for Reporting and Publication (ESG 2.6)

The review team prepares and agrees on an assessment report based on the general and specific criteria (cf. section 2). The assessment report is then submitted to the HEI for checking of factual errors and (should the HEI desire) submit a statement on the report. The statement of the HEI is transmitted to the members of the review team for possible revision of the assessment report and formulation of any recommendations concerning the accreditation decision.

The accreditation decision will be published, together with, normally, the full report of the experts, including any statements from the HEI.

2.5 Standards for Decision-Making (ESG 2.2, 2.3, 2.5)
The final decision on accreditation should be taken by a designated body of the accreditation agency. This body should include representation of all relevant stakeholders and be responsible for the definition and design of quality standards and procedures.

The accreditation decision must clearly define the period of validity and whether it refers to year of entry or year of graduation (the duration of which should normally not exceed a maximum of six years). The accreditation decision is communicated to the HEI. At the end of the validity period of the accreditation, the programme must be submitted for re-accreditation.

When EQANIE is asked to implement an accreditation procedure for the award of the Euro-Inf label, the EQANIE Accreditation Committee is the decision-making body. It awards the Euro-Inf label for a period of either five years, referring to all students who have or will have studied the accredited degree during that period, or for a period in line with relevant national accreditation.

Where national accreditation guidelines allow graduates from the year prior to the period of accreditation to be included, this will apply to the Euro-Inf label unless the terms of accreditation include requirements that cannot be applied retrospectively to the programme.

2.5.1 Guidelines for the Evaluation of Individual Requirements

When assessing the achievement of individual requirements for the programme review, a scale with at least the following three categories should be used:

a. Acceptable without reservation
b. Acceptable with adjustment requirements
c. Unacceptable.

The outcome “acceptable without reservation” should be awarded to requirements that have been fully met, even if improvements are still possible.

The outcome “acceptable with adjustment requirements” should be awarded to requirements that have not been fully met, but are judged to be achievable within a reasonable period of time (as a rule no longer than half the regular full period of accreditation).

The outcome “unacceptable” should be awarded to requirements that have not been met or not fully met, and are judged not to be achievable within a reasonable period of time.

2.5.2 Guidelines for the Criteria of Programme Accreditation

An informatics programme is accredited if it fulfils the requirements specified under Section 1.

To record the assessment outcome concerning the overall achievement of the requirements, a scale with at least the following three points should be used:

a. Accredited without reservation
b. Accredited with adjustment requirements
c. Not accredited.
Accreditation without reservation, with possible specification of recommendations for the improvement of the programme, should be awarded to programmes for which all requirements are judged to be “acceptable without reservation”. In this case, accreditation should be awarded for the full period of accreditation.

Accreditation with adjustment requirements, with specification of adjustments and the time in which these must be carried out, should be awarded if one or more requirements are judged to be “acceptable with adjustment requirements”. If a programme is rated as “accredited with adjustment requirements”, accreditation must be awarded for a shorter period of time than the full period of accreditation. In the follow-up procedure, compliance with the adjustment requirements is verified. If the adjustment requirements are not achieved within the set period of time, the review team can recommend that accreditation be withheld.

If the assessment outcome is unacceptable, the degree programme is not accredited.

2.6 Appeal Mechanism (ESG 2.7)

Agencies or other national competent authorities that make accreditation decisions on the basis of the Euro-Inf Standards and Criteria should have an appeals procedure. The nature and form of the appeals procedure should be determined in the light of the constitution of each agency.

It should be evident from the documentation to what extent the appeals system is based on a hearing process through which the agency can provide those under evaluation with a means to comment on and question the outcomes of the evaluation. Basically, the agency should provide evidence that the appeals system provides for those under evaluation an opportunity to express opinions about the evaluation outcomes.
3 Standards and Guidelines for External Quality Assurance Agencies

Agencies applying for authorization to award the Euro-Inf® Quality Labels should demonstrate compliance with the standards of Part 3 of the ESG⁷.

⁷ ESG (2015), Part 3.